

**NAGPRA Review Committee Actions
25 U.S.C. 3006(c)(5):
Culturally Unidentifiable Native American Human Remains**

**Sally Butts
National NAGPRA Program Intern
December 2010**

INTRODUCTION

Museums and Federal agencies follow a process, described in the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), to identify and resolve control over culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains. NAGPRA established a Review Committee under 25 U.S.C. 3006, a committee appointed by the Secretary of the Interior with several responsibilities under NAGPRA. The Review Committee is composed of seven members. Three of the members are nominated by national museum organizations and scientific organizations. Three of the members are nominated by Indian tribes, Native Hawaiian organizations, and traditional Native American religious leaders; at least two of such persons being traditional Indian religious leaders. The remaining member is appointed from a list of persons developed and consented to by all of the other members.

Of the statutory responsibilities of the Review Committee, this report is focused upon 25 U.S.C. 3006(c)(5). Subpart (5) states that the Review Committee shall be responsible for compiling an inventory of culturally unidentifiable human remains (CUI) that are in the possession or control of each Federal agency and museum and recommending specific actions for developing a process for disposition of such remains. The process for disposition of CUI was largely achieved by the promulgation of the rule in 43 CFR Section 10.11, in March 2010, the “CUI rule.” The rule allows museums and Federal agencies to proceed to a NAGPRA notice of inventory completion, which allows tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations listed in the notice to receive CUI originating from tribal or aboriginal lands of the listed tribes. Prior to the rule, and for those CUI not covered under the rule, the process leading to disposition of CUI followed 43 CFR 10.9(e)(6).

According to 43 CFR 10.9(e)(6), museums and Federal agencies could come to disposition agreements with tribes and then seek approval from the Secretary of the Interior to publish a NAGPRA notice indicating the agreement. Approval was considered on a case-by-case basis. To obtain the Secretary’s approval, the request would come to the NAGPRA Review Committee seeking a recommendation from the Committee to the Secretary, so that a notice could publish.

This report focuses on all of the Review Committee actions under subpart (5) and the regulations since the Review Committee was formed in 1991. This report describes these Review Committee recommendations and provides information following the Review Committee’s involvement on the disposition of Native American human remains.

METHODS

The process to summarize the Review Committee actions regarding culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains was initiated with an existing summary table on the National NAGPRA Program website. This summary table included all of the requests to the Review Committee for disposition of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains from 1994 through 2009. The table included (1) the institutions involved in each request; (2) the Review Committee meeting(s) where the request was presented; (3) the number of human

remains and the number of associated funerary objects, if any, included with each request; (4) the Review Committee actions; and (5) reference to any Federal Register Notice(s). Using this table as a starting point, the table was updated with requests up to 2010.

Upon promulgation of the CUI rule in 2010, requests to the Review Committee diminished as museums and Federal agencies focused upon application of the rule. A future study may examine the nature of requests that arise outside of the scope of the CUI rule. Such a study is premature at this time.

The information contained in the summary table that follows this narrative report was revised and expanded to include the following: (1) the relevant Review Committee meeting(s); (2) the requesting party; (3) the number of human remains (minimum number of individual Native American human remains (MNI)) and the number of associated funerary objects (AFO), if any, associated with the request; (4) a description of the agreement between the parties for the disposition/reburial of the human remains; (5) the Review Committee recommendation regarding the agreement; (6) the rationale for the Review Committee recommendation; (7) the independent review of the agreement by the Secretary of the Interior; and (8) the status of the agreement following notification in the Federal Register or other follow-up information where no Federal Register notice was published.

Several sources of information were used to complete this table. These sources included: (1) transcripts prepared for each Review Committee meeting; (2) notebooks of supporting documentation prepared for each Review Committee meeting, containing documentation provided by parties to the agreement, as well as correspondence between the parties and the National NAGPRA Program; (3) Federal Register Notices of Inventory Completion prepared by museums, agencies, and other organizations; (4) letters on behalf of the Secretary of the Interior prepared by the National NAGPRA Program's Designated Federal Officer or other similar official; (5) information obtained from the National NAGPRA Program staff; and (6) information obtained from museums, Federal agencies, Indian tribes, Native Hawaiian organizations, other organizations and Indian groups.

RESULTS

Included in this report are 82 requests that have come before the Review Committee regarding the disposition or reburial of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains. The 82 requests breakdown as indicated in the list below, followed by the minimum number of individual human remains (MNI) and associated funerary objects (AFO):

- 37 requests were for disposition of the human remains where both the Review Committee and the Secretary of the Interior concurred with the request and where disposition to the tribe(s) has been confirmed. These requests total 1,047 minimum number of individuals (MNI) and their 263 associated funerary objects (AFO).

- 17 requests were for disposition of the human remains where both the Review Committee and the Secretary of the Interior concurred with the request and where disposition to the Tribe(s) at this time of this study were pending physical transfer or the close of the Federal Register Notice 30-day period or other input regarding the notice publication. In total, these 17 requests represent 223 MNI and 458 AFO.
- 4 requests were for disposition of the human remains where both the Review Committee and the Secretary of the Interior concurred with the request and where disposition to the tribe(s) was unconfirmed at the time of this report. In total, these 4 requests represent 1,173 MNI and 1,102 AFO.
- 4 requests were for disposition of the human remains where the Review Committee concurred with the entire request but the Secretary of the Interior concurred with only part of the request. Two of these dispositions have been confirmed, one is unconfirmed, and one was pending the close of the Federal Register Notice 30-day waiting period. In total, these requests represent 206 MNI and 67 AFO.
- 4 requests were for a reburial plan where both the Review Committee and the Secretary of the Interior concurred with the request. Two of these reburials have been confirmed and two were unconfirmed. In total, these requests represent 734 MNI and 17 AFO.
- 13 requests resulted in a recommendation from the Review Committee that the parties engage in further consultation regarding disposition of the human remains. The status of 10 of these requests is unknown due to lack of response from the requesting parties. In total, these 10 requests represent 732 MNI and 97 AFO. The human remains in three of the requests remained with the requesting party and there was no transfer of control to Indian tribe(s) by the time of this report. In total, these three requests represent 60 MNI and 5 AFO.
- 2 requests were for approval of state protocols for the disposition of Native American human remains where the Review Committee concurred with the protocols. With both of the protocols, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally-concurred but required certain conditions to be included with the protocols. Both protocols were conditioned as instructed by the Secretary and are on file with the National NAGPRA Program.
- 1 request (#6) was for a reburial plan where the Review Committee agreed conceptually but the Secretary of the Interior did not concur. The reburial was confirmed. This request represents 5 MNI.

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

Overview

Most parties contacted to complete this report were very cooperative in providing information. Their candid input is appreciated and was of critical assistance in formulating this report. The respondents were earnest in their efforts to comply with NAGPRA. It is clear that parties expended considerable resources (staff and funds) to implement NAGPRA and complete the repatriation process. In evaluating all of the requests regarding culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains, the parties appeared to confront a learning curve that decreased over time as they built expertise in implementing NAGPRA. It should be noted that until the promulgation of the CUI rule, seeking disposition of CUI was voluntary.

General NAGPRA Implementation

There are many requirements that parties must follow to implement the NAGPRA law and regulations. The order in which specific provisions of the law and regulations are presented does not provide an easy-to-follow, step-by-step process for parties to follow to comply with the law and regulations. Some issues with statutory and regulatory text are unavoidable.

In some of the requests that were analyzed in this report, parties followed the law and regulations in an order that unnecessarily complicated the process. For example, a museum might publish a Notice of Inventory Completion prior to having conducted adequate consultation with affected Native American Tribes, Native Hawaiian organizations, or Indian groups. The party comments indicated a need for further guidance. This study was conducted prior to promulgation of the CUI rule.

Consultation

Consultation between museums, Federal agencies, Indian tribes, Native Hawaiian organizations, and other Indian groups is arguably the most important aspect of the NAGPRA law. While consultation can be time-consuming and expensive for all parties involved, the upfront expenditure of effort is likely to pay off in the end. Effective and early consultation, where all affected and consulted parties are in agreement on the disposition or reburial of human remains, generally results in an easy decision by the Review Committee to recommend concurrence with the agreement between the parties. This saves time and other resources in the long-term and also facilitates a final resolution over the unfortunate situation of displaced Native American human remains.

Thus, it is wise for parties to carefully consider how best to engage in effective consultation that involves all affected parties and considers all issues relevant to the various parties involved. This is particularly important when there are a large number of claimants, when a large number of human remains are involved, and when circumstances leading up to consultation have been controversial. Effective consultation requires that parties communicate with each other on an ongoing basis until the NAGPRA process is completed. Consultation can abate controversy.

Evidence

Oral evidence should be carefully prepared and provided to parties during consultation as well as to the Review Committee when it is necessary so that the oral evidence is given as much consideration as physical evidence (e.g., written document). Some evidence, oral or otherwise, may be sensitive or proprietary information. It should be noted that information supplied to the Review Committee will be part of the public record.

Documentation of Repatriations

The law and regulations do not require museums and Federal agencies to report transfers of control in NAGPRA, so good data on success in NAGPRA is elusive. If museums, Federal agencies could provide documentation when the transfer of Native American human remains occurs following Federal Register notification better information would be available. This kind of documentation is unlikely to create a burden because many museums and Federal agencies already maintain internal records on repatriation efforts as required in the regulations at 43 CFR 10.9(f)(1). At the close of this study, the Department of the Interior accepted a recommendation of the Government Accountability Office on NAGPRA in which Federal agencies will annually report their repatriation data to the National NAGPRA Program to be inserted in an annual report. Reporting by museums is voluntary.

Review Committee Follow-Up

In a minority of requests before the Review Committee for the disposition of human remains, the Committee recommended that the parties conduct further consultation or analysis to identify the human remains as Native American and/or to determine the appropriate parties for disposition. The Review Committee or the National NAGPRA Program could follow-up at some future time with the parties involved to see if progress was made to identify the remains and/or to reach agreement on disposition. This additional effort might facilitate unresolved cases where parties are unsure how to proceed.

As this report was concluded prior to effect of the CUI rule, this report does not indicate whether unresolved requests were later resolved by use of the new regulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was possible by the generous help of the National NAGPRA Program Staff; Lesa Koscielski, Contractor, National Park Service; Stephen Simpson and Carla Mattix, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior; and many individuals representing museums, Federal agencies, Indian tribes, Native Hawaiian organizations, and other organizations dedicated to the implementation of NAGPRA.

Request # 1	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Phillips Academy, Robert S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology	MNI / AFO: 1/12
Review Committee Meeting: 8 th November 1994		
<p>Description of Request: The Phillips Academy submitted a request to return culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe, a non-federally recognized Indian group. The disposition agreement (transfer of control) was developed in consultation with, and had the support of the Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head of Massachusetts, a federally recognized tribe. The Academy originally identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because there was no affiliated federally recognized Indian tribe. Subsequently, the Academy determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the human remains and other cultural items and the Mashpee Wampanoag, a non-federally recognized group. (subsequently federally recognized)</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe, a non-federally recognized Indian group. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe, a non-federally recognized Indian group. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 60 Federal Register 8733, February 15, 1995).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee recommendation of disposition to the Mashpee Wampanoag Tribe, a non-federally recognized Indian group. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, December 1994).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were returned according to the Notice of Inventory Completion on August 3, 1995. The cultural items were returned according to the Notice of Inventory Completion on June 20, 2007. (Email between Bonnie Sousa, Robert S. Peabody Museum of Archaeology, to Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 22, 2010).</p>		

Request # 2	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Virginia Department of Historic Resources	MNI / AFO: 64/105
Review Committee Meeting: 9 th February 1995		
<p>Description of Request: The Virginia Department of Historic Resources recommended disposition (transfer of control) to the Nansemond Tribal Association, a non-federally recognized Indian group. Originally, the VA Dept. of Historic Resources identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because there was no affiliated federally recognized tribe. Subsequently, the Department determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and the Nansemond Tribal Association, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Nansemond Tribal Association, a non-federally recognized Indian group, following documented consultation with other federally recognized tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups from Virginia. Disposition based on alliance between Nansemond Tribal Association and the historical Chesapeake Tribe of the 16th and 17th century. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 62 Federal Register 14701-02, March 27, 1997).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee recommendation of disposition plan to the Nansemond Tribal Association following consultation with non-federally recognized Indian groups. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, March 1995).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were returned according to the Notice of Inventory Completion on or before April 26, 1997, when reburial took place under the auspices of the Nansemond Tribe. Along with the human remains, 37 shell disc beads, 3 copper pendants, and one tubular clay pipe were reburied. (Email between Catherine Slusser, Deputy Director for Policy and Planning Virginia Department of Historic Resources, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 27, 2010).</p>		

Request # 3	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 3/0
Review Committee Meeting: 9 th February 1995	U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Fort Hunter-Liggett	
<p>Description of Request: Fort Hunter-Liggett requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Salinan Nation. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, March 1995).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Salinan Nation. The Committee agreed that there were no other groups that should notified (i.e., consulted).(Review Committee Meeting Minutes, February 1995, page 15).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Recommended that Fort Hunter-Liggett work with the Salinan Indian Tribal Council, the California Native American Heritage Commission, and any other Indian group that may be interested in this issue, to develop a plan for the disposition of these human remains that is satisfactory to all concerned. This plan should be publicized broadly to inform any other potential claimants. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, March 1995).</p> <p>Status: Fort Hunter-Liggett still has control and possession of the remains. The remains are culturally unidentifiable because the Indian group determined to be affiliated with the remains is not federally-recognized. (Phone conversation and follow-up emails between Lisa Cipolla, Fort Hunter-Liggett, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 27, 2010).</p>		

Request # 4	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 10 th October 1995	Hood Museum of Art	
<p>Description of Request: The Hood Museum of Art requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains representing a minimum number of 1 individual to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Originally, the Museum identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because the affiliated Indian group was not a federally recognized tribe. Subsequently, the Museum determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi. The disposition agreement was developed in consultation with, and had the support of the Penobscot Tribe of Maine, a federally recognized Indian tribe. Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi, a non-federally recognized Indian group, following publication of notice in area newspapers in order to ensure that all potential culturally affiliated groups were notified. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 61 Federal Register 24950, May 17, 1996).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee recommendation of disposition plan to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi following publication of notice in area newspapers. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, November 1995).</p> <p>Status: On September 9, 1996, delegates of the Abenaki Nation of Missisquois took control and possession of the remains. With the permission of the current landowner the remains were interred. (Email from Kellen Haak, Dartmouth Alumnus and former Hood Museum Contact, to Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 23, 2010).</p>		

Request # 5	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Baylor University, Strecker Museum (Now known as the Mayborn Museum)	MNI / AFO: 46/5
Review Committee Meeting: 13 th March 1997		
<p>Description of Request: The Strecker Museum sought the advice of the Review Committee following the museum’s efforts to consult with both federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups regarding culturally unidentified Native American human remains in its possession.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended additional consultation; move toward cultural affiliation; revise inventory. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, May 1997).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A.</p> <p>Status: 23 of the remains were determined to belong to the landowner on whose land the remains were found and the landowner reburied the remains. The other 23 remains are still in possession of the Mayborn Museum and are still classified as culturally unidentifiable. The Museum has conducted consultation and is in the process of determining if and how 43 CFR 10.11 applies. (Phone conversation and email between Anita Benedict, Collections Manager Mayborn Museum, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 26 and 28, 2010).</p>		

Request # 6	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of Energy, Fernald Site	MNI / AFO: 5
Review Committee Meetings: 13 th March 1997; 14 th January 1998		
<p>Description of Request: The Department of Energy requested from the Review Committee a recommendation regarding culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains unearthed during a water supply project. Despite consultation efforts, the DOI was unable to determine a connection between the human remains and any identifiable Indian tribe or group.(March 1997).</p> <p>The Department of Energy provided an update of its efforts undertaken since the Review Committee’s recommendation at the previous meeting in 1997. Consultation did not identify any affiliated Indian tribes or groups, and the Department proceeded with retention of the human remains in a designated area at the Fernald Site. (January 1998).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended retention of human remains until identification of clear mechanism for disposition. Clarified that letter sent following previous meeting did not intend that human remains be retained in the ground. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, March 1997, pages 11-12).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Review Committee concurred with the Department of Energy’s decision that the human remains were not culturally affiliated with a present-day Indian tribe. The Committee recommended that the human remains be curated in a method that accommodates the Department’s regulatory responsibilities and the wishes of interested Indian tribes. The Department should explain how this case of underground curation meets the Department’s regulatory obligations under 36 C.F.R. §79, Curation of Federally-Owned and Administered Archeological Collections, particularly provisions related to long-term curation (36 C.F.R. §79.9), use (36 C.F.R. §79.10), and inspection (36 C.F.R. §79.11). (Letter from Acting Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, Aug. 1997).</p> <p>Status: The remains of the five individuals found while laying water pipe on State Route 128 were reinterred in the ground on the Fernald property, which is a federal facility, so the remains are under federal protection. On May 25, 1997, a group of Native Americans, Department of Energy representatives, and community members participated in a private ceremony to re-inter the 950-year-old Native American remains. The origins of the remains were unknown, so the customs of several Tribes were incorporated into the ceremony. (Email between Sue Walpole, Department of Energy, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 17, 2010).</p>		

Request # 7	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Oakland Museum	MNI / AFO: 5/3
Review Committee Meeting: 13 th March 1997		
<p>Description of Request: The Oakland Museum and De Anza College (request #8) requested a recommendation from the Review Committee regarding a proposal for repatriation that follows California reburial law with repatriation to the most likely descendants as determined by the Native American Heritage Commission.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended additional consultation with neighboring federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups as well as additional documentation on cultural affiliation.</p> <p>(Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, May 1997).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A</p> <p>Status: No response from Oakland Museum.</p>		

Request # 8	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: De Anza College	MNI / AFO: 35/0
Review Committee Meeting: 13 th March 1997		
Description of Request: See request #8 Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: See request #8 Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A Status: No response from De Anza College.		

Request # 9	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: City of Santa Clara	MNI / AFO: 1/1
Review Committee Meeting: 13 th March 1997		
<p>Description of Request: The City of Santa Clara submitted a request to the Review Committee to return culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Muwekma Band of Ohlone, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended additional consultation with neighboring federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups as well as additional documentation on cultural affiliation. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, July 1997).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A</p> <p>Status: No response.</p>		

Request # 10	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Henry County Historical Society	MNI / AFO: Unknown
Review Committee Meeting: 13 th March 1997		
<p>Description of Request: The Henry County Historical Society requested a recommendation from the Review Committee to repatriate their collection of human remains to the Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, a federally recognized Indian tribe, and the Miami of Indiana, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Insufficient information regarding inventory for Review Committee to make a recommendation regarding disposition of the human remains. Several issues need clarification, such as items in the collection that have known cultural affiliation or are identified as non-Native American. The Review Committee recommended additional consultation and documentation and a revised inventory from the Henry County Historical Society. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, May 1997).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A</p> <p>Status: No response from the Henry County Historical Society.</p>		

Request # 11	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Minnesota Indian Affairs Council	MNI / AFO: 1,059/306
Review Committee Meetings: 14 th January 1998; 16 th December 1998		
<p>Description of Request: Minnesota Indian Affairs Council requested consideration by Review Committee for disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable human remains and associated funerary objects. Disposition to representatives of the tribal communities presently residing in the areas from which the remains and objects originated following the guidelines of the Minnesota Native American Reburial Project overseen by the Minnesota Indian Affairs Council. (Letter from Office of the State Archaeologist, Minnesota, to the NAGPRA Review Committee, December 17, 1997 <i>in</i> January 29-31, 1997, Review Committee Meeting Notebook of Supporting Materials).</p> <p>The Minnesota Indian Affairs Council made a second request for repatriation and described efforts undertaken since its first request to the Review Committee. (December 1998).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended disposition of Native American human remains per request upon consultation with affected tribes. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, February 1999).</p> <p>Following submission of additional documentation of notification and consultation, recommended disposition pursuant to state law.</p> <p>Further, recommended disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Prairie Island Community Council, Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community of Minnesota, Grand Portage Reservation Business Committee, Fond du Lac Reservation Business Committee, Nett Lake Reservation (Bois Forte) Tribal Council, Upper Sioux Community of Minnesota, Lower Sioux Mdewakanton Community, Mille Lacs Band of Chippewa Indians, White Earth Band of Minnesota Chippewa, Leech Lake Tribal Council, Minnesota Chippewa Tribe, Red Lake Nation, Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma, Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska, Ho-Chunk Nation of Wisconsin, Santee Sioux Tribe of the Santee Reservation of Nebraska, Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe of the Lake Traverse Reservation, Yankton Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, Chippewa-Cree Indians of the Rocky Boy's Reservation, Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians, Assinaboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Reservation and Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska, and the non-Federally recognized Indian groups the Mendota Mdewakanton Dakota Community and the Kah-Bay-Kah-Nong (Warroad Chippewa).</p> <p>Disposition based on State statute and also on aboriginal territory of tribes noted in repatriation agreement. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 64 Federal Register 43211-22, August 9, 1999).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, February 1999).</p> <p>Status: No response from the Minnesota Indian Affairs Council.</p>		

Request # 12	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 339/0
Review Committee Meeting: 14 th January 1998	Iowa Office of the State Archaeologist	
<p>Description of Request: The State of Iowa requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (reburial) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains under State law. (Letter from Office of the State Archaeologist, Minnesota, to the NAGPRA Review Committee, December 17, 1997 in January 29-31, 1997, Review Committee Meeting Notebook of Supporting Materials).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended that the Office of the State Archaeologist, University of Iowa, rebury the culturally unidentifiable human remains in accordance with Iowa law following fulfillment of the requirements of NAGPRA, including consultation with those federally recognized tribes and a group seeking Federal recognition that presently or formerly lived in Iowa.</p> <p>Disposition based on State statute with concurrence of federally recognized tribes in Iowa. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 65 Federal Register 81886-94, December 27, 2000).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement of reburial plan according to State law upon notice and consultation of reburial plan with federally recognized tribes and those seeking federal recognition.</p> <p>(Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, March 1999).</p> <p>Status: Remains were reburied among four State cemeteries between April 8 and September 17, 2009.</p> <p>(Email from Shirley Schermer, Director State Burials Program, to Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Intern, on July 26, 2010).</p>		

Request # 13	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 14 th January 1998	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Fort Clatsop National Memorial	
<p>Description of Request: Fort Clatsop National Monument requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) to the Chinook Tribe, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended solicitation of letters of support for repatriation agreement from nearest federally recognized Indian tribes.</p> <p>(Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, June 1998).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A</p> <p>Status: No information available at this time. (Email between Mary Carroll, National Park Service, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on November 1, 2010).</p>		

Request # 14	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 11/2
Review Committee Meeting: 14 th January 1998	California Department of Parks and Recreation	
<p>Description of Request: The California Dept. of Parks and Recreation requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and funerary objects to the Salinan Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended additional consultation with local federally recognized Indian tribes and resubmission of request.</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A</p> <p>Status: The remains are still in possession of California Department of Parks and Recreation and (apparently) no additional consultation pursued with federally-recognized tribes. (Email between Rebecca Carruthers, California Department of Parks and Recreation, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 27, 2010).</p>		

Request # 15	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 7/0
Review Committee Meeting: 15 th June 1998	Sonoma State University	
<p>Description of Request: Sonoma State University requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Federated Coast Miwok, a non-federally recognized Indian group. (The number of human remains was originally higher for this request, but the other remains were found to be culturally affiliated and repatriated to a federally-recognized tribe).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Originally, the University identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because there was no affiliated federally recognized tribe. Subsequently, the University determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Federated Coast Miwok, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Recommended disposition to the Federated Coast Miwok, a non-federally recognized Indian group, along with concurrence of disposition agreement from federally recognized tribes. In 2000, the Federated Coast Miwok became known as the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria, a federally recognized tribe.</p> <p>Disposition based on traditional territory of requesting tribe. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 72 Federal Register 30823-25, June 4, 2007).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, May 21, 1999).</p> <p>Status: The remains are under the control of the Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria, but possession remains with Sonoma State University until such time as the tribe is ready to take possession of the remains. (Email from Erica Gibson, Archaeology Laboratory Manager, Sonoma State University, to Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 26, 2010).</p>		

Request # 16	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 3/0
Review Committee Meeting: 16 th December 1998	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Carlsbad Caverns National Park and Guadalupe Mountains National Park	
<p>Description of Request: The National Park Service requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and funerary objects to a unified group of 12 Indian tribes who have demonstrated traditional, cultural, and historical relationships to the Carlsbad Caverns and Guadalupe Mountains regions.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended disposition to the Apache Tribe of Oklahoma; Comanche Nation, Oklahoma; Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Jicarilla Apache Nation, New Mexico; Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma; Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; San Carlos Apache Tribe of the San Carlos Reservation, Arizona; White Mountain Apache Tribe of the Fort Apache Reservation, Arizona; Ysleta del Sur Pueblo of Texas; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.</p> <p>Disposition based on cultural relationship with requesting tribes. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 69 Federal Register 678-79, January 6, 2004).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee recommendation of requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, May 1999).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the two tribes selected by the entire group of 14 Affiliated tribes and Pueblos (Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, NM, and the Pueblo of Ysleta del Sur of El Paso, TX). The remains were reinterred by the representatives of the Affiliated tribes and Pueblos on December 14, 2009. (Email between David Kayser, Carlsbad Caverns National Park, to Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 27, 2010).</p>		

Request # 17	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 2/0
Review Committee Meeting: 16 th December 1998	Harvard University, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology	
<p>Description of Request: Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, Harvard University, requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Nipmuc Nation of Central Massachusetts, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Originally, the Museum identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because there was no affiliated federally recognized tribe. Subsequently, the Museum determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Nipmuc Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Recommended disposition to the Nipmuc Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 67 Federal Register 45274-75, August 1, 2003).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, January 2000).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the non-federally recognized Nipmuc Nation. The transfer took place on September 25, 2003. (Email between Sandra Dong, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 6, 2010).</p>		

Request # 18	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 330/0
Review Committee Meeting: 16 th December 1998	University of Nebraska-Lincoln	
<p>Description of Request: The University of Nebraska Lincoln requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of Native American human remains to Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe of the Cheyenne River Reservation, South Dakota; the Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma; the Kickapoo Tribe of Indians of the Kickapoo Reservation in Kansas; the Oglala Sioux Tribe of the Pine Ridge Reservation, South Dakota; the Omaha Tribe of Nebraska; the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma; the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska; the Ponca Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma; the Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota; the Santee Sioux Tribe of the Santee Reservation of Nebraska; the Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska; the Yankton Sioux Tribe of South Dakota; and the North Dakota Intertribal Reinterment Committee representing the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe of North and South Dakota; the Spirit Lake Tribe, North Dakota; the Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota; and the Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians of North Dakota.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended disposition to those Indian tribes and Indian groups in the description of the request.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal and historical territory of requesting tribes. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 65 Federal Register 58803-06, October 2, 2000).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, September 1999).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were transferred to the tribes listed in the Notice on November 2, 2001. (Email between Dr. Priscilla Grew, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 2, 2010).</p>		

Request # 19	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Fine Arts Museum of San Francisco (De Young Museum)	MNI / AFO: 0/91
Review Committee Meeting: 16 th December 1998		
<p>Description of Request: The Fine Arts Museum of San Francisco requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable associated funerary objects to the Ohlone. These objects were on loan and recently returned to the museum. This request follows disposition of a previous group of culturally unidentified human remains and associated funerary objects to the Ohlone.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended additional consultation and documentation to help clarify the applicability of the statute to these 91 items and, upon receipt of such information, will reconsider your request.(Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, January 7, 2000).</p> <p>Review Committee stated that based on lack of information the objects could not be determined to be objects of cultural patrimony, sacred objects, or funerary objects (associated or unassociated). The Museum initially determined that the objects were “associated burial objects” but did not have sufficient evidence to back up this determination according to the Review Committee discussion.(Review Committee Meeting Minutes, December 1998, page 12).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A</p> <p>Status: Request be removed from table since there are no human remains associated with the request.</p>		

Request # 20	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: California State University, Fresno	MNI / AFO: 122/0
Review Committee Meeting: 17 th May 1999		
<p>Description of Request: California State University, Fresno, requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and funerary objects to the Central Valley and Mountain Reinterment Association, on behalf of all parties, to accept the human remains. Consultation was done with all federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups in the area.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale Recommended disposition to the Central Valley and Mountain Reinterment Association, a coalition of federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups.</p> <p>Disposition based on the geographic area of the probable origin of the remains.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 65 Federal Register 48530, August 8, 2000).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party’s request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, September 1999).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the Central Valley and Mountain Reinterment Association. Date of transfer unknown. (Email between Roger LaJeunesse, Faculty, CSU-Fresno Dept. of Anthropology, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 28, 2010 and September 7, 2010).</p>		

Request # 21	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Virginia Department of Historic Resources	MNI / AFO: 105/0
Review Committee Meetings: 17 th May 1999; 18 th November 1999		
<p>Description of Request: Originally, the Virginia Department of Historic Resources identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because there was no affiliated federally recognized tribe. The Department submitted a request to the Review Committee to rebury 105 Native American human remains. The Review Committee determined that the request was reasonable if additional information was made available. Virginia provided the information prior to the 18th Review Committee Meeting. The Review Committee members agreed unanimously to approve the request and asked the National Park Service to send a letter detailing their recommendation to Virginia. A draft of the letter was prepared and approved at the meeting.</p> <p>Subsequently, the Department determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Monacan Indian Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group. The Department requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Monacan Indian Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, November 1999).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Monacan Indian Nation, a non-federally recognized Indian group. Disposition based on continuities of mound construction and site arrangement, with Monacan and related tribal groups. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 65 Federal Register 6622-23, February 10, 2000).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party’s request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, November 1999).</p> <p>Status: The Hayes Creek Mound remains were reburied by the Monacan on their sacred mountain, Bear Mountain, in Amherst County, on October 8, 2000 as part of the Monacan annual "Home Coming" gathering. “As with all the reburials I have attended, it was a moving and heart-felt ceremony. Members of all the Virginia tribes attended.”(Email from Catherine Slusser, Virginia Department of Historic Resources, to Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 29, 2010).</p>		
Request # 22	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Harvard University, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology	MNI / AFO: 30/0
Review Committee Meeting: 17 th May 1999		
<p>Description of Request: Originally, the Museum identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because there was no affiliated federally recognized tribe. Subsequently, the Museum determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire, both non-federally recognized Indian groups. The Peabody Museum requested approval from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of Native American human remains to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire, both non-federally recognized Indian groups.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire, both non-federally recognized Indian groups, following receipt of letters of support from affected federally recognized Indian tribes. Disposition based on aboriginal and historical territory of requesting tribes. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 66 Federal Register 51468-69, October 9, 2001).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party’s request for disposition. (Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, March 2000).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and the Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire on November 19, 2001. (Email between Sandra Dong, Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 23	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 17/0
Review Committee Meeting: 17 th May 1999	New Hampshire Division of Historical Resources	
<p>Description of Request: Originally, the New Hampshire Division of Historical Resources identified the remains as culturally unidentifiable because there was no affiliated federally recognized tribe. Subsequently, the Division determined that there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire, both non-federally recognized Indian groups. The Division requested approval from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of the Native American human remains to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi and Abenaki Nation of New Hampshire, both non-federally recognized Indian groups, following receipt of letters of support from affected federally recognized Indian tribes.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 67 Federal Register 45536-39, July 9, 2002).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party's request for disposition.</p> <p>(Letter from Departmental Consulting Archeologist Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program, October 2001).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were returned to the Sovereign Nation of the Abenaki on August 13, 2002, with the exception of the remains from the New Hampshire Technical Institute. These remains could not be relocated (and have not been relocated). It is possible that they were unintentionally incorporated with other remains that were repatriated. Thus, these remains could not? be accounted for at the time of the return.</p> <p>(Email between Richard Boisvert, State Archaeologist, New Hampshire Division of Historical Resources, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 28, 2010).</p>		

Request # 24	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 8/0
Review Committee Meeting: 19 th April 2000	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Ocala National Forest	
<p>Description of Request: Ocala National Forest requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians, a federally recognized Indian tribe. The culturally unidentifiable determination was made due to limited provenance information and in consultation with area federally recognized tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Miccosukee Tribe.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 65 Federal Register 45397-98, July 21, 2000).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party's request for disposition.</p> <p>(Letter from Assistant Director, Cultural Resources Stewardship and Partnerships, October 19, 2004).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the Miccosukee Tribe on August 22, 2000.</p> <p>(Phone conversation between Rhonda Kimbrough, Forest Archaeologist and Heritage Program Manager, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 28, 2010).</p>		

Request # 25	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 4/0
Review Committee Meeting: 19 th April 2000	Washington State Historical Society	
<p>Description of Request: The Washington State Historical Society requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and funerary objects to the Puyallup Tribe.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Puyallup Tribe. (65 Federal Register 45403-04, July 21, 2000). Disposition based on remains coming into possession of Washington State Historical Society and the presumption that the remains would likely have come from the Northwest Region of Washington which includes the ancestral territory of the Puyallup Tribe, among many other tribes. The Puyallup Tribe agreed to disposition and reburial and no tribes opposed this plan.(Letter from Washington State Historical Society to NAGPRA Review Committee, March 3, 2000. Letter included in Review Committee Notebook for April 2000 Review Committee Meeting).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of endorsement by Review Committee of requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Assistant Director, Cultural Resources-Stewardship and Partnerships, April 2000).</p> <p>Status: The remains were returned to the Puyallup Tribe and were buried at the Puyallup Tribal Cemetery. (Email between Lynette Miller, Washington State History Research Center, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 11, 2010).</p>		

Request # 26	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 20 th December 2000	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Eastern Colorado Area Office	
<p>Description of Request: The Bureau of Reclamation requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and funerary objects to the Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation, Wyoming; Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma; and the Northern Cheyenne Tribe of the Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation, Montana; all federally recognized Indian tribes. The human remains were recovered due to erosion concerns from a site that was not on tribal lands with no cultural affiliation.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation, Wyoming; Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma; and the Northern Cheyenne Tribe of the Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation, Montana. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribes. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 66 Federal Register 18505-06, April 9, 2001).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee's concurrence with requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Assistant Director, Cultural Resources-Stewardship and Partnerships, January 2001).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the tribes in the disposition agreement and were reburied on June 16, 2001. (Phone conversation between William Tully, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 29, 2010).</p>		

Request # 27	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 14/4
Review Committee Meeting: 20 th December 2000	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Dakotas Area Office	
<p>Description of Request: The Bureau of Reclamation requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and funerary objects to the North Dakota Intertribal Reinterment Committee, a coalition of federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups representing the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe of North & South Dakota; Spirit Lake Tribe, North Dakota; Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota; and Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians of North Dakota.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the North Dakota Intertribal Reinterment Committee, a coalition of federally recognized Indian tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups representing the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe of North & South Dakota; Spirit Lake Tribe, North Dakota; Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota; and Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians of North Dakota. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of tribes represented by the North Dakota Intertribal Reinterment Committee. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 66 Federal Register 22255-56, May 3, 2001).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee's concurrence with requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Assistant Director, Cultural Resources-Stewardship and Partnerships, January 2001).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the North Dakota Intertribal Reinterment Committee immediately after the Federal Register Notice was published on May 3, 2001. (Phone conversation between Signe Snortland, Bureau of Reclamation, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 29, 2010).</p>		

Request # 28	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 8/0
Review Committee Meeting: 20 th December 2000	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Carlsbad Caverns National Park and Guadalupe Mountains National Park	
<p>Description of Request: The National Park Service requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and funerary objects to the Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; the Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; the Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico; the Hopi Tribe of Arizona, the Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; the Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico; the Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma, the Jicarilla Apache Tribe of the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation, New Mexico; the Apache Tribe of Oklahoma, the San Carlos Apache Tribe of the San Carlos Reservation, Arizona; the White Mountain Apache Tribe of the Fort Apache Reservation, Arizona, the Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma, and the Comanche Indian Tribe, Oklahoma; all federally recognized Indian tribes. The human remains were identified during consultation for request #16, as both sets of remains were from the same site.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; the Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; the Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico; the Hopi Tribe of Arizona, the Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; the Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico; the Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma, the Jicarilla Apache Tribe of the Jicarilla Apache Indian Reservation, New Mexico; the Apache Tribe of Oklahoma, the San Carlos Apache Tribe of the San Carlos Reservation, Arizona; the White Mountain Apache Tribe of the Fort Apache Reservation, Arizona, the Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma, and the Comanche Indian Tribe, Oklahoma. Disposition based on cultural relationship with requesting tribes. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 69 Federal Register 68169-71, November 23, 2004).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee's concurrence with requesting party's request for disposition. (Letter from Assistant Director, Cultural Resources-Stewardship and Partnerships, February 15, 2001).</p> <p>Status: The transfer of control occurred following the Notice publication and 30-day waiting period. The Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas and the Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico, took the lead in the reburial of the remains at two locations on the Guadalupe Mountains National Park between December 15-17, 2009. The Park is awaiting comments from the tribes on a burial site monitoring plan. (Phone conversation between Fred Armstrong, Guadalupe Mountains National Park, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 29, 2010).</p>		

Request # 29	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Zion National Park	MNI / AFO: 11/0
Review Committee Meeting: 21 st May 2001		
<p>Description of Request: The National Park Service requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians of the Kaibab Indian Reservation, Arizona; Las Vegas Tribe of Paiute Indians of the Las Vegas Indian Colony, Nevada; Moapa Band of Paiute Indians of the Moapa River Indian Reservation, Nevada; Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah (Cedar City, Indian Peak, Kanosh, Koosharem, Shivwits Bands); Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Reservation, Utah; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico; all federally recognized Indian tribes. The human remains were culturally unidentifiable due to limited provenience information.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of the culturally unidentifiable human remains to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians of the Kaibab Indian Reservation, Arizona; Las Vegas Tribe of Paiute Indians of the Las Vegas Indian Colony, Nevada; Moapa Band of Paiute Indians of the Moapa River Indian Reservation, Nevada; Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah (Cedar City, Indian Peak, Kanosh, Koosharem, Shivwits Bands); Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Reservation, Utah; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.</p> <p>Disposition based on cultural relationship with requesting tribes. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 67 Federal Register 35580-81, May 20, 2002).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Confirmation of Review Committee’s concurrence with requesting party’s request for disposition. (Letter from Assistant Director, Cultural Resources-Stewardship and Partnerships, June 2001).</p> <p>Status: The remains were reburied on Zion National Park lands per agreement with the tribes identified in the Notice for disposition. (Phone conversation between Sarah Horton, Zion National Park, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 28, 2010). The repatriation date recorded by the National Park Service is recorded as 2002. (Information from the National Park Service “Park-NAGPRA Repatriation Report -- Notices of Inventory Completion and Corrections, dated June 22, 2010. Available on National Park Service Intranet).</p>		

Request # 30	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Franklin Pierce College	MNI / AFO: 8/0
Review Committee Meeting: 22 nd November 2001		
<p>Description of Request: Franklin Pierce College requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi, a non-federally recognized Indian group. Consultation was done with area federally recognized tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Abenaki Nation of Missisquoi, a non-federally recognized Indian group. Disposition based on aboriginal and historical territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 68 Federal Register 16550-51, April 4, 2003).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation. (Letter from Designated Federal Officer, October 2002).</p> <p>Status: These remains were turned over to the Abenaki Nation on August 26, 2003. (Email between Robert Goodby, Franklin Pierce University, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 29, 2010).</p>		

Request # 31	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army, Joint Readiness Training Center and Fort Polk	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 23 rd May/June 2002		
<p>Description of Request: The Joint Readiness Training Center and Fort Polk requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of one culturally unidentifiable Native American human remain (tooth) to the Caddo Tribe of Oklahoma.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to Caddo Indian Tribe of Oklahoma. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 68 Federal Register 8623-24, August 14, 2003).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation. (Letter from Designated Federal Officer, August 30, 2002). Status: Unable to successfully locate a contact for this request.</p>		

Request # 32	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Colorado College	MNI / AFO: 3/0
Review Committee Meeting: 27 th September 2004		
<p>Description of Request: Colorado College requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Southern Ute Indian Tribe. The college consulted with 29 area tribes, and none objected to the disposition agreement.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Southern Ute Indian Tribe. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 69 Federal Register 76780-81, December 22, 2004).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from D F O, September 21, 2004).</p> <p>Status: The remains and associated funerary objects were repatriated on January 22, 2005 and physically transferred on June 29, 2005. (Email between Jan Bernstein, Bernstein & Associates, LLC, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 12, 2010).</p>		
Request # 33	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Effigy Mounds	MNI / AFO: 12/3
Review Committee Meeting: 27 th September 2004		
<p>Description of Request: The National Park Service requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa, Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska, and Sac and Fox Nation.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa, Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska, and Sac and Fox Nation. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 69 Federal Register 76005-06, December 20, 2004).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, September 21, 2004).</p> <p>Status: The remains were repatriated on February 1, 2005, and were subsequently reburied in their original location in Effigy Mounds National Monument. (Email between Michael Evans, Effigy Mounds National Monument, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 6, 2010).</p>		
Request # 34	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of Defense, Army, Installation Management Agency-Army Reserve Office, Arlington, VA, and University of Utah, Utah Museum of Natural History, Salt Lake City, UT	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 29 th March 2005		
<p>Description of Request: The National Park Service requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to a coalition of federally recognized Indian tribes, the Great Basin Inter-tribal NAGPRA Coalition.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Great Basin Inter-tribal NAGPRA Coalition, a coalition of Indian tribes. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribes within coalition.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 16904-05, March 31, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, March 2005).</p> <p>Status: Utah Museum of Natural History de-accessioned the remains to the U.S. Army Corp. of Engineers on March 25, 2008. That same day the U.S. Army transferred the remains to two Indian individuals who represent the Great Basin Intertribal NAGPRA coalition. (Email between Michelle Knoll, Utah Museum of Natural History, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 30, 2010).</p>		

Request # 35	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 70/11
Review Committee Meeting: 30 th November 2005	South Dakota Archaeological Research Center	
<p>Description of Request: The South Dakota Archaeological Research Center requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, on behalf of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe of the Cheyenne River Reservation, South Dakota; Crow Creek Sioux Tribe of the Crow Creek Reservation, South Dakota; Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe of South Dakota; Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma; Lower Sioux Indian Community In the State of Minnesota; Northern Cheyenne Tribe of the Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation, Montana; Oglala Sioux Tribe of the Pine Ridge Reservation, South Dakota; Omaha Tribe of Nebraska; Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians, Oklahoma; Prairie Island Indian Community in the State of Minnesota; Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota; Sac & Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa; Santee Sioux Nation, Nebraska; Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation, South Dakota; Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota; Upper Sioux Indian Community, Minnesota; and Yankton Sioux Tribe of South Dakota.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, on behalf of a coalition of tribes named in the request.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 71 Federal Register 24752-55, April 26, 2006).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Notice says Letter from Designated Federal Officer transmitted Review Committee's Recommendation indicating Secretary of the Interior concurrence.(Letter from DF O, November 23, 2005, as referenced in Federal Register Notice of Inventory Completion, 71 Federal Register 24752-55, April 26, 2006).</p> <p>Status: The remains and associated funerary objects were transferred to the Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe on July 28, 2006.</p> <p>(Email between Katherine Lamie, South Dakota Archaeological Research Center, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 29, 2010).</p>		

Request # 36	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 31 st March 2006	U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, CO	
<p>Description of Request: Under request #37, one human remain was found to be under the control of the BIA and handled under this request, #36, for the disposition (transfer of control) to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico; Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoaque, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Juan, New Mexico; Pueblo of Sandia, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santo Domingo, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesuque, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of the human remains to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico; Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoaque, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Juan, New Mexico; Pueblo of Sandia, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santo Domingo, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesuque, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.</p> <p>Disposition based on cultural relationship with 22 tribes requesting disposition.</p> <p>(Notice of Inventory Completion, 71 Federal Register 13863-64, March 17, 2006).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation.(Letter from D F O, March 3, 2006).</p> <p>Status: Assumed that remains were repatriated in 2006 along with the remains in entry #37. See request #37 for detail.</p>		

Request # 37	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 24/50
Review Committee Meeting: 31 st March 2006	U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Mesa Verde National Park, CO	
<p>Description of Request: Mesa Verde National Park requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico; Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoaque, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Juan, New Mexico; Pueblo of Sandia, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santo Domingo, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesuque, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico. Later, one MNI found to be under the control of the BIA (see request #36).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico; Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoaque, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Juan, New Mexico; Pueblo of Sandia, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santo Domingo, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesuque, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo of Texas; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.</p> <p>Disposition based on cultural relationship with 22 tribes requesting disposition.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 71 Federal Register 13428-30, March 15, 2006).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from D FO, March 3, 2006).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were repatriated to the tribes in the Notice in 2006.</p> <p>(Information from the National Park Service “Park-NAGPRA Repatriation Report -- Notices of Inventory Completion and Corrections, dated June 22, 2010. Available on National Park Service Intranet).</p>		
Request # 38	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: N/A
Review Committee Meeting: 32 nd May 2006	Iowa Office of the State Archaeologist	
<p>Description of Request: The Iowa Office of the State Archaeologist requested approval of a protocol called the “Draft Process for Reburial of Culturally Unidentifiable Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects Originating from Iowa.” The draft protocol was developed in consultation with federally recognized tribes and non-federally recognized Indian groups. The protocol would deal only with culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended approval of protocol for future disposition of any culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains, consistent with Iowa State law and NAGPRA and subject to concurrence of all affected Indian tribes.</p> <p>(Letter from Designated Federal Officer to Burial Program Director, Office of the State Archaeologist University of Iowa, June 2006).</p> <p>Recommendation based on best feasible option that is consistent with Iowa State law and NAGPRA and also based on support from affected Indian tribes.</p> <p>(Review Committee Meeting Minutes, May 2006, pages 19-20).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary approved State’s protocol subject to additional procedural requirements and ability to review and recommend alternative disposition of any human remains subject to State protocol.</p> <p>(Letter from D F O to Burial Program Director, Office of the State Archaeologist University of Iowa, June 2006).</p> <p>Status: The protocol is entitled “Final Iowa NAGPRA Process, May 2006. Process for Reburial of Culturally Unidentifiable Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects Originating from Iowa.” The protocol is on file with the National NAGPRA Program office.</p>		

Request # 39	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 2/0
Review Committee Meeting: 33 rd November 2006	Alameda County Sheriff's Office, Coroner's Bureau, CA	
<p>Description of Request: The Alameda County Sheriff's Office requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains (2 skulls) to a tribal descendant. The skulls were found during a construction project in 1960 and subsequently stolen. In 2006, the human remains were mailed to the University of California at Berkeley and were promptly turned over to the Alameda County Sheriff's Office. Consultation efforts with local Indian tribes were not successful. The only group to participate in consultations felt the human remains should be returned to the University of California at Berkeley for repatriation. The University was not willing to accept the human remains. The Department of the Interior counsel determined that disposition to a named individual was not appropriate due to timing of the excavation.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Requested that the Secretary of the Interior solicit the views of the State of California as to how the disposition of these human remains should be handled pursuant to State law. Review Committee will keep the Coroner's Bureau informed as to the results of discussions between the Review Committee and the State of California. In the meantime, the Review Committee recommended that the Coroner's Bureau retain possession of the remains of the two individuals as required under 43 CFR 10.9 (e)(6). (Letter from DF O to Alameda County Sheriff's Office-Coroners Bureau, January 2007).</p> <p>Request based on best feasible option among limited choices for disposition. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, November 2006, pages 26-27).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A Status: No response from the Alameda County Coroner's Office and the California Native American Heritage Commission.</p>		

Request # 40	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: N/A
Review Committee Meetings: 33 rd November 2006; 37 th May 2008	Colorado Historical Society	
<p>Description of Request: The Colorado Historical Society requested approval of a protocol developed in consultation with Tribes to affect repatriation of Native American human remains removed from state and private lands in Colorado.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended approval of protocol for future disposition of any culturally unidentifiable human remains, subject to concurrence of additional affected Indian tribes. (Letter to Colorado Governor and Colorado Historical Society President, September 2008).</p> <p>The State of Colorado's process to develop a protocol involved 47 Indian tribes. The process was introduced to the Review Committee in November 2006. A letter from the Review Committee, dated January 8, 2007, stated that the State of Colorado may proceed with disposition of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains according to the process upon receipt of formal response from the Jicarilla Apache Tribe, Apache Tribe of Oklahoma, and the Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma, and subject to forthcoming conditions imposed by the Secretary of the Interior. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, May 2008, page 21).</p> <p>The responses received from the three tribes were as follows: the Apache Tribe was on board with the State's process, the Jicarilla Apache Nation stated that it was working on the issue, and the Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma was opposed to the process. There was discussion among the RC members about whether its earlier recommendation requiring formal response from the three tribes also required their concurrence with the State's process. The final recommendation by the RC, approving of the State's process, included a provision suggested by the Department of the Interior. Provision is as follows: "any interested party may petition the Secretary of the Interior to recommend an alternative disposition of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains subject to the terms of the process." (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, May 2008, pages 23-24).</p> <p>Approval of state protocol based on consultation with 47 tribes and support letters from 33 on protocol. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, November 2006, page 30).</p> <p>Recommended approval of the State of Colorado's process for "Consultation, Transfer, and Reburial of Culturally Unidentifiable Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects Originating from Inadvertent Discoveries on Colorado State and Private Lands." (Review Committee Minutes, pages 23-24).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary approved State's protocol along with 6 conditions. (Letter to Colorado Governor and Colorado Historical Society President, September 2008).</p> <p>Status: The protocol is entitled "Process for Consultation, Transfer, and Reburial of Culturally Unidentifiable Native American Human Remains and Associated Funerary Objects Originating from Inadvertent Discoveries on Colorado State and Private Lands. The protocol is on file with the National NAGPRA Program office. Consultation grant awarded in FY 2005 (ID#013; 08-05-GP-393) for \$74,868.</p>		

Request # 41	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Fort Union National Monument, NM	MNI / AFO: 4/10
Review Committee Meeting: 33 rd November 2006		
<p>Description of Request: Fort Union National Monument requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Jicarilla Apache Nation and the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe. Consultation was done with area federally recognized tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Jicarilla Apache Nation, New Mexico and Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation, Colorado, New Mexico & Utah.</p> <p>Remains found within tribe’s aboriginal and historical territory.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 72 Federal Register 12190, March 15, 2007).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from D F O, December 12, 2006).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were repatriated to the tribes in the Notice in 2007.(Information from the National Park Service “Park-NAGPRA Repatriation Report -- Notices of Inventory Completion and Corrections, dated June 22, 2010. Available on National Park Service Intranet).</p>		
Request # 42	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Florida Museum of Natural History	MNI / AFO: 366/0
Review Committee Meeting: 34 th April 2007		
<p>Description of Request: The Florida Museum of Natural History requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (reburial) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains at original excavation site (Tatham Mound Site).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended reburial at original excavation site (Tatham Mound Site) with concurrence of the Miccosukee Tribe, Seminole Tribe of Florida, and Seminole Nation of Oklahoma. The recommendation included that any samples of human remains retained by the museum should be done in consultation with the above named tribes.</p> <p>No relationship between the remains and modern-day Native Americans. However, Miccosukee Tribe, Seminole Tribe of Florida, and Seminole Nation of Oklahoma agreed to the reburial plan at Tatham Mound Site.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 3995-96, January 23, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, May, 2007).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were reinterred under the auspices of the Seminole Tribe of Florida in February 2008.</p> <p>(Email between Dr. Jerald Milanich, Florida Museum of Natural History, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 30, 2010).</p>		
Request # 43	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Intermountain Regional Office, CO	MNI / AFO: 9/17
Review Committee Meeting: 34 th April 2007		
<p>Description of Request: The National Park Service, Intermountain Region, requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the Pueblo of Acoma and the Zuni Tribe. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Pueblo of Acoma and the Zuni Tribe.</p> <p>Disposition based on geographic proximity to requesting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 72 Federal Register 48676-77, August 24, 2007).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DF O, May 31, 2007).</p> <p>Status: The human remains and associated funerary objects were repatriated to Pueblo of Acoma and the Zuni Tribe in 2009.</p> <p>(Email between Christine Landrum, Office of Indian Affairs and American Culture, National Park Service, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 13, 2010).</p>		

Request # 44	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Binghamton University, NY	MNI / AFO: 2/50
Review Committee Meeting: 35 th October 2007		
<p>Description of Request: Binghamton University requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the St. Regis Mohawk Tribe. Consultation with done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to St. Regis Mohawk Tribe.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 5857, February 2, 2009).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DF O, November 28, 2007).</p> <p>Status: The remains and associated funerary objects were transferred to the Ste. Regis Mohawk Tribe on November 4, 2009.</p> <p>(Email between Nina Versaggi, Binghamton University, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on July 30, 2010).</p>		

Request # 45	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Hastings Museum of Natural History, NE	MNI / AFO: 11/0
Review Committee Meeting: 35 th October 2007		
<p>Description of Request: The Hastings Museum of Natural History requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma. Consultation was done with area tribes. Very little information was available on the origin of the remains, however, a forensic anthropologist concluded that most of the human remains were either Native American or of inconclusive origin.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Review Committee found that the human remains were not Native American by a preponderance of the evidence. However, the Committee indicated it was inclined to consider additional evidence that would meet the preponderance of evidence standard to show that remains are Native American.(Review Committee Meeting Minutes, October 2007, pages 17-18).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior concurred with the Review Committee’s findings and recommendations.(Letter from DF O, November 16, 2007).</p> <p>Status: These are unidentifiable remains. A forensic anthropologist has looked at the remains and cannot determine any affiliation. The Museum records only indicate they were found in Nebraska. The Museum still has possession of the remains.</p> <p>(Email between Teresa Kreutzer-Hodson, Hastings Museum of Natural History, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 20, 2010).</p>		

Request # 46	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Michigan Technological University	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 35 th October 2007		
<p>Description of Request: Michigan Technological University requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to Bay Mills Indian Community, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians.</p> <p>The requesting tribes historically occupied the geographic area where the remains were located at the Gros Cap Cemetery/Burial site. These tribes continue to have a presence in this area.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 20942-43, April 17, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation. (Letter from DF O, November 28, 2007).</p> <p>Status: The remains were repatriated to the tribes in the Federal Register Notice on June 16-17, 2008. (Email between Susan Martin, Michigan Technological University, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 2, 2010).</p>		

Request # 47	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Effigy Mounds National Monument, IA.	MNI / AFO: 5/0
Review Committee Meeting: 35 th October 2007		
<p>Description of Request: The National Park Service requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of Native American human remains representing six individuals to the Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa, Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska, and Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma. Subsequent to Review Committee review, one of the six individuals was determined to be part of a repatriated bundle burial and was deleted from request.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 30973, May 29, 2008).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of five of the six individuals to the Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa, Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska, and Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 30973, May 29, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from F O, November 28, 2007).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were repatriated to the tribes in the Notice in 2008.(Information from the National Park Service “Park-NAGPRA Repatriation Report -- Notices of Inventory Completion and Corrections, dated June 22, 2010. Available on National Park Service Intranet).</p>		
Request # 48	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL	MNI / AFO: 13/0
Review Committee Meeting: 35 th October 2007		
<p>Description of Request: Gulf Islands National Seashore developed a Memorandum of Agreement for repatriating and reburying culturally unidentifiable human remains that had been inventoried in 1995 but not repatriated and sought the Review Committee’s approval of the Agreement.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended additional consultation and resubmission of request.</p> <p>Review Committee’s recommendation for additional consultation indicated that a formal Memorandum of Agreement is not required. Information that the Committee is looking for to support a favorable recommendation is that there is agreement among the tribes involved and information showing that reasonable attempts (3-5 attempts) have been made to contact potentially affected tribes. Review Committee Meeting Minutes, October 2007, page 15).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: N/A Status: The Park decided to wait for the CUI rule to be finalized.</p> <p>(Email between Mary Carroll, National Park Service, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on November 1, 2010).</p>		
Request # 49	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Hastings Museum of Natural History, NE	MNI / AFO: 5/0
Review Committee Meeting: 37 th May 2008		
<p>Description of Request: Hastings Museum of Natural History requested approval of disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 47229-30, August 13, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DF O, June 6, 2008).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the tribes listed in the Notice and a representative picked up the remains at the museum on June 9, 2009 after the one of the tribes received a NAGPRA grant for travel.</p> <p>(Email between Teresa Kreutzer-Hodson, Hastings Museum of Natural History, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 20, 2010).</p>		

Request # 50	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Kingman Museum	MNI / AFO: 4/0
Review Committee Meeting: 37 th May 2008		
<p>Description of Request: The Kingman Museum requested approval of disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 47230-31, August 13, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DF O, June 6, 2008).</p> <p>Status: The Muskegon remains were repatriated to The Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe; Little River Band of Ottawa Indians; and the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians on September 23, 2008.</p> <p>(Email between Katie Nelson, Kingman Museum, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on November 1, 2010).</p>		

Request # 51	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Michigan Department of Natural Resources - Mackinac State Historic Parks	MNI / AFO: 8/15
Review Committee Meeting: 37 th May 2008		
<p>Description of Request: Mackinac State Historic Parks requested approval of disposition (transfer of control) of 11 sets of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and 15 associated funerary objects to the to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan. Consultation was done with area Tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 73954-55, December 4, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation only with respect to 8 sets of the remains.(Letter from DF O, Ju1. 18, 2008).</p> <p>Status: Remains and associated funerary objects were repatriated on January 5, 2009.</p> <p>(Phone conversation and follow-up email with Steven Brisson and Brian Jaeschke, Mackinac State Historic Parks, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 22 and 25, 2010).</p>		

Request # 52	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Lakeshore Museum Center (formerly Muskegon County Museum)	MNI / AFO: 9/0
Review Committee Meeting: 37 th May 2008		
<p>Description of Request: The Muskegon County Museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Little Traverse Bay Band of Odawa Indians, and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 73 Federal Register 79504-06, December 29, 2008).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from D F O, June 6, 2008).</p> <p>Status: No response from Muskegon County (now Lakeshore) Museum.</p>		

Request # 53	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 664/0
Review Committee Meeting: 37 th May 2008	Putnam County Commission, WV	
<p>Description of Request: The Putnam County Commission requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the reburial of Native American human remains. Remains were excavated by the West Virginia Geological Society, at the request of Union Carbide. Subsequently, the remains were transferred to Ohio State University who conducted analysis on the remains and determined no cultural affiliation. A grass roots effort was initiated to seek reburial of the remains. Potentially affected federally recognized tribes were contacted to see if any would step forward to assist in the reburial of the remains. Putnam County Historical Society/Commission/Development Authority stepped forward to assist and the remains were transferred from Ohio State University to Putnam County. Subsequently, a representative of the Council for West Virginia Archeology claimed the remains belonged to the State of West Virginia and sought the remains for display in a museum in West Virginia. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, May 2008, pages 17-19).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended (1) clarification of who has legal control of the remains; (2) full consultation with all Indian tribes that may be involved; and (3) further development of historical evidence to determine appropriate disposition of the remains that are in the possession of Putnam County Historical Society/Commission/Development Authority, West Virginia. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, May 2008, page 19).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's findings and recommendations. (Letter from Designated Federal Officer, June 9, 2008).</p> <p>Status: Putnam County Commission transferred the remains to the West Virginia Division of Culture and History in Mounds, West Virginia. Official response from the West Virginia Division of Culture and History is pending. (Phone conversation between Gary Walton, Putnam County Commission, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 22, 2010). (Phone and email conversations between David Rotenizer, West Virginia Division of Culture and History, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, October 25 and November 5, 2010).</p>		
Request # 54	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 21/17
Review Committee Meetings: 37 th May 2008; 42 nd June 2010	Tennessee Division of Archaeology / Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Division of Archaeology	
<p>Description of Request: The Tennessee Division of Archaeology requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (reburial) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and numerous funerary objects with the Chickasaw Tribe leading the reburial process. Consultation was done with area Tribes. (May 2008).</p> <p>Human remains were excavated during a State of Tennessee road improvement project. State law requires reburial of remains within one year. The remains were not affiliated with any tribe because archeological evidence and theory suggests a general abandonment by Native residents of the area from 1450 to 1550 A.D. and also that there are no federally recognized tribes in the State of Tennessee. The Chickasaw Nation agreed to lead the reburial at an adjacent, protected city park, approved by the State. Among the potentially affected tribes, the Muscogee Creek Nation made a claim for the remains and that the remains were of Yuchi tribal affiliation. The Muscogee Creek Nation also voiced concerns about 11,000 other remains in the custody of the State of Tennessee. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, May 2008, pages 14-15). The Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Division of Archaeology, requested approval of disposition by reburial of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains representing a minimum of 21 individuals and 17 associated funerary objects. The Chickasaw Nation will lead the reburial. (June 2010).</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommendation deferred pending additional consultation with the Muscogee (Creek) Nation. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, May 2008, page 15).</p> <p>Recommended reinterment of Native American human remains according to Tennessee state law. Reburial to be led by Chickasaw Nation.</p> <p>Reinterment pursuant to 43 C.F.R. 10.11, based on proof that the "aboriginal land" tribes did not accept transfer of the human remains and did not object to the reinterment of the human remains under Tennessee law. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 45660-61, August 3, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation. (Letter from D F O, June 16, 2010).</p> <p>Status: Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Division of Archaeology, has not been contacted yet because of the recent Federal Register Notice.</p>		

Request # 55	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 8/0
Review Committee Meeting: 37 th May 2008	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Daniel Boone National Forest	
<p>Description of Request: Daniel Boone National Forest requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (reburial) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma; Cherokee Nation, Oklahoma; Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians of North Carolina; Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma; Shawnee Tribe, Oklahoma; and United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians in Oklahoma. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition (reburial) of the human remains to the Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma; Cherokee Nation, Oklahoma; Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians of North Carolina; Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma; Shawnee Tribe, Oklahoma; and United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians in Oklahoma. Remains found within tribe's aboriginal and historical territory. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 42095-96, August 20, 2009).</p> <p>The Review Committee felt this request was a model for the types of materials and information presented.</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation. (Letter from DFO, January 27, 2009).</p> <p>Status: Daniel Boone National Forest has not yet been contacted.</p>		

Request # 56	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 11/0
Review Committee Meeting: 38 th October 2008	U.S. Department of Interior, National Park Service, Fort Vancouver Historic Site, WA	
<p>Description of Request: Fort Vancouver Historic Site requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Vancouver Inter-Tribal Consortium on behalf of the Clatsop-Nehalem Confederated Tribes; Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation, Washington; Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon; Confederated Tribes of the Siletz Reservation, Oregon; Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Oregon; Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon; Cowlitz Indian Tribe, Washington; Muckleshoot Indian Tribe of the Muckleshoot Reservation, Washington; Nisqually Indian Tribe of the Nisqually Reservation, Washington; Snoqualmie Tribe, Washington; Snoqualmoo Tribe; Spokane Tribe of the Spokane Reservation, Washington; Stillaguamish Tribe of Washington; and Wanapum Band. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of the human remains to the Vancouver Inter-Tribal Consortium on behalf of the Tribes in the request.</p> <p>Recommendation based on all tribes included in Review Committee's recommendation having historical connections to present-day Vancouver, WA. (Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 24874-75, May 26, 2009).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation. (Letter from DFO, April 3, 2009).</p> <p>Status: The Cowlitz Tribe received a NAGPRA grant in FY 2007 to assist in its efforts to receive the remains. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, October 2008, page 14). The human remains were repatriated to the tribes in the Notice in 2009. (Information from the National Park Service "Park-NAGPRA Repatriation Report -- Notices of Inventory Completion and Corrections, dated June 22, 2010. Available on National Park Service Intranet).</p> <p>Mr. Fred York stated that the tribes and Fort Vancouver Historic Site worked together in selecting an appropriate location for reburial at the Historic Site and the reburial took place on October 2, 2009. (Review Committee Meeting Minutes, October 2009, page 31).</p>		

Request # 57	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Arizona State Museum, AZ	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 38 th October 2008		
<p>Description of Request: The Arizona State Museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Tohono O’odham Nation of Arizona. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Tohono O’odham Nation of Arizona. Remains found within tribe’s aboriginal and historical territory.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 21384-85, May 7, 2009).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter fromDFO, April 3, 2009).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to the Tohono O’odham Nation on November 6, 2009. (Email between John McClelland, Arizona State Museum, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 58	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Arizona State Museum, AZ	MNI / AFO: 4/1
Review Committee Meeting: 38 th October 2008		
<p>Description of Request: The Arizona State Museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and an associated funerary object to the Gila River Indian Community. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Gila River Indian Community.</p> <p>Remains found within tribe’s aboriginal and historical territory.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 32185-32186, July 7, 2009).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter fromDFO, April 3, 2009).</p> <p>Status: The remains and associated funerary object were transferred to the Gila River Indian Community on October 20, 2009.</p> <p>(Email between John McClelland, Arizona State Museum, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 59	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Detroit Institute of Arts, MI	MNI / AFO: 10/0
Review Committee Meeting: 38 th October 2008		
<p>Description of Request: The Detroit Institute of Arts requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan; Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, Michigan and Indiana; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan; and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the tribes in the request. Remains found within tribes’ aboriginal territory.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 42920-21, August 25, 2009).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DF O, April 3, 2009).</p> <p>Status: The remains were transferred to tribes listed in the Notice approximately October 2009. (Phone conversation between David Penney, Detroit Institute of Arts, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 22, 2010).</p>		

Request # 60	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 3/0
Review Committee Meeting: 38 th October 2008	Kalamazoo Valley Museum, MI	
<p>Description of Request: The Kalamazoo Valley Museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan; Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan; Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, Michigan and Indiana; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan; and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan; Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan; Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, Michigan and Indiana; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan; and Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan based on aboriginal land.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting and supporting tribes.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 24878-79, May 26, 2009).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation.(Letter fromDFO, April 3, 2009).</p> <p>Status: The human remains were released on July 20, 2009. They were turned over to a leader from the Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians who was acting as representative for all the tribes.</p> <p>(Email between Paula Metzner, Kalamazoo Valley Museum, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 8, 2010).</p>		

Request # 61	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 39/34
Review Committee Meeting: 38 th October 2008	Cranbrook Institute of Science, MI	
<p>Description of Request: The Cranbrook Institute of Science requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains representing a minimum of 60 individuals and 58 associated funerary objects to the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians in conjunction with the Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians, the Bay Mills Indian Community, the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, the Lac Vieux Desert Chippewa Tribe, the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, the Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe, and the Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition of a minimum of 60 individuals to the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians in conjunction with the Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians, the Bay Mills Indian Community, the Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, the Lac Vieux Desert Chippewa Tribe, the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, the Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, the Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe, and the Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of tribes requesting disposition.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 36111-14, June 24, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation, but only with respect to 39 of the 60 individuals. The Secretary determined that there was insufficient evidence presented to show the remaining 21 individuals were, more likely than not, Native American. Further, although the mandatory disposition of "culturally unidentifiable" associated funerary objects is not governed by NAGPRA, the Secretary recommended the transfer of the 58 associated funerary objects in question to the maximum extent allowed by Federal, State, or local law.(Letter from DFO, April 3, 2009).</p> <p>Status: The transfer of 39 human remains and 34 associated funerary objects was completed on August 14, 2010.</p> <p>(Email between Michael Stafford, Cranbrook Institute of Science, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 7, 2010).</p>		

Request # 62	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Great Sand Dunes National Park, CO	MNI / AFO: 3/0
Review Committee Meeting: 39 th May 2009		
Description of Request: Great Sand Dunes National Park requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe. Consultation was done with area tribes. Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation, Colorado, Mexico, and Utah. Disposition based on aboriginal and historical territory of tribes requesting disposition.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 11555, March 11, 2010). Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, September 16, 2009). Status: Disposition occurred in October 2010.(Email between Mary Carroll, National Park Service, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on November 1, 2010).		
Request # 63	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Hovenweep National Monument, UT	MNI / AFO: 6/5
Review Committee Meeting: 39 th May 2009		
Description of Request: Hovenweep National Monument requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico. Remains and associated funerary objects recommended for reburial at or near site of discovery or excavation. Consultation was done with area tribes. Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico. Remains and associated funerary objects recommended for reburial at or near site of discovery or excavation. Disposition based on geographical proximity to tribes requesting disposition.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 14463-64, March 25, 2010).(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 14464-65, March 25, 2010). Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, September 16, 2009). Status: To date, the human remains and associated funerary objects have not been transferred to the designated receiving tribes. The Park is awaiting tribal action for repatriation and reburial and anticipates reburial on Federal land. But that has not yet been confirmed. (Email between Corky Hays, Hovenweep and Natural Bridges National Monuments, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 23, 2010).		
Request # 64	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Pecos National Historical Park, NM	MNI / AFO: 153/0
Review Committee Meeting: 39 th May 2009		
Description of Request: Pecos National Historical Park requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Pueblo of Jemez. Consultation was done with area tribes. Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico. Remains found within tribe’s aboriginal and historical territory.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 74 Federal Register 58561-62, November 13, 2009). Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter fromDFO, September 16, 2009). Status: The human remains were repatriated to the tribe in the Notice in 2009. (Information from the National Park Service “Park-NAGPRA Repatriation Report -- Notices of Inventory Completion and Corrections, dated June 22, 2010. Available on National Park Service Intranet).		

Request # 65	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Central Michigan University, MI	MNI / AFO: 144/388
Review Committee Meeting: 39 th May 2009		
<p>Description of Request: Central Michigan University requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan; Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan; Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomi Indians of Michigan; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan; Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan; and/or Wyandotte Nation, Oklahoma. Consultation was done with area tribes</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan; Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little River Band of Ottawa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan; Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomi Indians of Michigan; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan; Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan; and/or Wyandotte Nation, Oklahoma.</p> <p>Remains found within tribes’ aboriginal territory.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 45658-59, August 3, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, September 16, 2009).</p> <p>Status: The comment period closed on September 2, 2010. CMU is working closely with the tribes in the Notice and expects to turn over the human remains and associated funerary objects in late October/early November 2010, depending on the preference of the tribes.</p> <p>(Email between Dr. Jay Martin, Central Michigan University, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 6, 2010).</p>		

Request # 66	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: University of Nebraska State Museum, NE	MNI / AFO: 2/27
Review Committee Meeting: 39 th May 2009		
<p>Description of Request: University of Nebraska State Museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary remains to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan; Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan; Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, Michigan and Indiana; and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan; Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan; Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Michigan; Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan; Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, Michigan and Indiana; and Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan.</p> <p>Remains found within tribes’ aboriginal territory.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 5108-5109, February 1, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DF O, September 16, 2009).</p> <p>Status: These remains are still at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln because the authorized representative designated by the tribes listed in the Notice has not yet come to Lincoln to get them.</p> <p>(Email between Dr. Priscilla Grew, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on August 6, 2010).</p>		

Request # 67	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 16/4
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009	Denver Museum of Nature and Science	
<p>Description of Request: The Denver Museum of Nature and Science requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Pueblo of Acoma (NM); Pueblo of Zia (NM); and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation (NM). Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Pueblo of Acoma (NM); Pueblo of Zia (NM); and Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation (NM).</p> <p>The Hopi Tribe of Arizona will take the lead in reburying 11 of the individuals, and will administer and entrust the other five individuals to the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation for reburial close to the location from which they were removed.</p> <p>Disposition based on intertribal agreement of affected tribes.</p> <p>(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 42770-71, July 22, 2010, and Corrected Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 55823-24, September 14, 2010.).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation.(Letter from DFO, March 4, 2010).</p> <p>Status: Transfer of the remains has not yet occurred. The Hopi Tribe will be taking the lead on the transfer of the remains after October 14, 2010. The associated funerary objects will be transferred with the remains.(Email between Jami Powell, Denver Art Museum, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 28, 2010).</p>		

Request # 68	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 2/30
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009	Georgia Department of Transportation	
<p>Description of Request: The Georgia Department of Transportation requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians in Oklahoma.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal and historical territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 41884-85, July 19, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation.(Letter from DFO, March 4, 2010).</p> <p>Status: The transfer has not occurred as of yet. The Department will be scheduling the transfer with the tribe in the upcoming months.</p> <p>(Email between Eric Duff, Georgia Department of Transportation, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 8, 2010).</p>		

Request # 69	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation:	MNI / AFO: 9/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009	Grand Canyon National Park	
<p>Description of Request: Grand Canyon National Park requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Havasupai Tribe. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Havasupai Tribe of the Havasupai Reservation, AZ.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal and historical territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 30428, June 1, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation.(Letter from DFO, March 4, 2010).</p> <p>Status: Disposition occurred in October 2010.</p> <p>(Email between Mary Carroll, National Park Service, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on November 1, 2010).</p>		

Request # 70	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: New York University College of Dentistry	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The New York University College of Dentistry requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains disposition to the Paiute-Shoshone Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony (NV), on behalf of itself and both the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony (NV) and the Lovelock Paiute Tribe of the Lovelock Indian Colony (NV). Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Paiute-Shoshone Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony (NV), on behalf of itself and both the Reno-Sparks Indian Colony (NV) and the Lovelock Paiute Tribe of the Lovelock Indian Colony (NV).</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 33329, June 11, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DF O, March 4, 2010).</p> <p>Status: Disposition arrangements are being worked out with the Paiute-Shoshone Tribe. Dispositions should be completed in Fall 2010.</p> <p>(Email between Lauren Sieg, NYU NAGPRA Consultant, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 71	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: New York University College of Dentistry	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The New York University College of Dentistry requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe of North and South Dakota. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe of North and South Dakota.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from Designated Federal Officer, March 2010).</p> <p>Status: As a courtesy and in the spirit of collaboration that NAGPRA encourages, NYU has sought the approval of the draft Federal Register Notice before submitting it to the National NAGPRA Program. The Standing Rock Sioux Tribe has not provided NYU with any feedback on the draft Notice despite several calls, emails, and faxes to obtain the Tribe’s approval or edits. Consequently, the Notice is still in draft form and awaiting tribal approval. As soon as NYU has tribal approval, the Notice will be submitted for publication.</p> <p>(Email between Lauren Sieg, NYU NAGPRA Consultant, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 72	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: New York University College of Dentistry	MNI / AFO: 3/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The New York University College of Dentistry requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Osage Nation. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Osage Nation, OK.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 33327-28, June 11, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter fromFO, March 4, 2010).</p> <p>Status: New York University is awaiting communication from the Osage Nation regarding its preference for a time, place, and method of transferring the remains.</p> <p>(Email between Lauren Sieg, NYU NAGPRA Consultant, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 73	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: New York University College of Dentistry	MNI / AFO: 9/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The New York University College of Dentistry requested a recommendation from the Review Committee fro the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Miccosukee Tribe. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida. Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 36110-11, June 24, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, March 4, 2010).</p> <p>Status: Disposition arrangements are being worked out with the Miccosukee Tribe. Dispositions should be completed in Fall 2010. (Email between Lauren Sieg, NYU NAGPRA Consultant, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 74	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: New York University College of Dentistry	MNI / AFO: 2/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The New York University College of Dentistry requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Tuscarora Nation of New York. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Tuscarora Nation of New York.</p> <p>Disposition based on remains being found on Tuscarora Reservation.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 33329-30, June 11, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, March 4, 2010).</p> <p>Status: New York University is awaiting communication from the Tuscarora Nation regarding its preference for a time, place, and method of transferring the remains.</p> <p>(Email between Lauren Sieg, NYU NAGPRA Consultant, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on September 9, 2010).</p>		

Request # 75	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Pioneer Historical Society of Bent County	MNI / AFO: 2/8 lots
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The Pioneer Historical Society of Bent County requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains representing a minimum of 4 individuals and 8 lots of associated funerary objects to the Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes (OK). Recommendation based on letters of support from affected Tribes and no objections from consulted tribes on “culturally identifiable” status. (Notice of Inventory Completion, Federal Register 75 Federal Register 57289-90, September 20, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation regarding 2 of the 4 human remains. Secretary of the Interior determined that there was Insufficient documentation to conclude that the other 2 of the 4 human remains are Native American. (Letter from DFO, June 3, 2010).</p> <p>Status: Museum and tribal representatives have a conference call scheduled for November 8, 2010, to discuss how to proceed with disposition of the human remains. (Phone conversation between Kathyryn Finau, Pioneer Historical Society of Bent County, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 29, 2010).</p>		

Request # 76	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: Public Museum of Grand Rapids	MNI / AFO: 104/796
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The Public Museum of Grand Rapids requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians (MI); Little River Band of Ottawa Indians (MI); Bay Mills Indian Community (MI); Keweenaw Bay Indian Community (MI); Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians (MI); Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomi Indians of Michigan; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan; Sac & Fox Nation (OK); Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians (MI and IN); Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan; and Grand River Bands of Ottawa Indians, a non-federally recognized Indian group. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians (MI); Little River Band of Ottawa Indians (MI); Bay Mills Indian Community (MI); Keweenaw Bay Indian Community (MI); Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians (MI); Match-e-be-nash-she-wish Band of Pottawatomi Indians of Michigan; Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan; Sac & Fox Nation (OK); Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians (MI and IN); Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan; and Grand River Bands of Ottawa Indians, a non-federally recognized Indian group.</p> <p>Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 36673-76, June 28, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, March 25, 2010).</p> <p>Status: No response from the Public Museum of Grand Rapids.</p>		

Request # 77	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: University of Colorado Museum	MNI / AFO: 5/2
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
Description of Request: The University of Colorado museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation (CO, NM, and UT). Consultation was done with area tribes.		
Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation (CO, NM, and UT). Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, Federal Register 42771-73, July 22, 2010).		
Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation.(Letter from DFO, April 19, 2010).		
Status: De-accession should be completed in October 2010. Physical transfer and reburial scheduled for spring 2011. (Email between Jan Bernstein, Bernstein & Associates, LLC, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 12, 2010).		

Request # 78	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: University of Colorado Museum	MNI / AFO: 2/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The University of Colorado museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation (CO, NM, and UT). Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation (CO, NM, and UT). Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 52019-21, August 24, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, April 19, 2010).</p> <p>Status: De-accession should be completed in October 2010. Physical transfer and reburial scheduled for spring 2011. (Email between Jan Bernstein, Bernstein & Associates, LLC, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 12, 2010).</p>		

Request # 79	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: University of Colorado Museum	MNI / AFO: 2/2
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
Description of Request: The University of Colorado museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects to the Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation (UT). Consultation was done with		

area tribes.

Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation (UT).

Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 52015-16, August 24, 2010).

Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee's recommendation.(Letter from DFO, April 19, 2010).

Status: De-accession should be completed in October 2010. Physical transfer and reburial scheduled for spring 2011.

(Email between Jan Bernstein, Bernstein & Associates, LLC, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 12, 2010).

Request # 80	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: University of Colorado Museum	MNI / AFO: 3/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The University of Colorado museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation (CO, NM, and UT). Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation (CO, NM, and UT). Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 45657-58, August 3, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, April 19, 2010).</p> <p>Status: De-accession should be completed in October 2010. Physical transfer and reburial scheduled for spring 2011.</p> <p>(Email between Jan Bernstein, Bernstein & Associates, LLC, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 12, 2010).</p>		

Request # 81	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: University of Colorado Museum	MNI / AFO: 1/0
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
<p>Description of Request: The University of Colorado museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains to the Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation. Consultation was done with area tribes.</p> <p>Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation (WY). Disposition based on aboriginal territory of requesting tribe.(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 45655-56, August 3, 2010).</p> <p>Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation.(Letter from DFO, April 19, 2010).</p> <p>Status: De-accession should be completed in October 2010. The schedule for physical transfer and reburial will be scheduled with another repatriation by the Smithsonian Institution.</p> <p>(Email between Jan Bernstein, Bernstein & Associates, LLC, and Sally Butts, National NAGPRA Program Intern, on October 12, 2010).</p>		

Request # 82	Party Requesting Review Committee Recommendation: University of Colorado Museum	MNI / AFO: 157/10
Review Committee Meeting: 40 th October 2009		
Description of Request: The University of Colorado museum requested a recommendation from the Review Committee for the disposition (transfer of control) of culturally unidentifiable Native American human remains and associated funerary objects from unknown geographic locations to the Pueblo of Isleta and the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe. Consultation was done with area tribes.		

Review Committee Recommendation and Rationale: Recommended disposition to the Pueblo of Isleta (NM) and the Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation (CO, NM, and UT).

Recommendation based on letters of support from affected tribes and no objections from consulted tribes on “culturally identifiable” status.

(Notice of Inventory Completion, 75 Federal Register 57290-92, September 20, 2010).

Secretary of the Interior Determination: Secretary of the Interior independently concurred with Review Committee’s recommendation regarding 157 of the 235 human remains.

Secretary of the Interior determined that there was Insufficient documentation to conclude that 78 of the 235 human remains are Native American.

(Letter from DFO, May 11, 2010).

Status: The status was not confirmed.